September 6, 2006 for identification of counsel for the other defendants, and also scheduled a trial setting hearing before the Honorable Susan Illston on September 8, 2006.

At the hearing, the Court found (and counsel for the government, Ms. Falk and the defendants all agreed) that the exclusion of time between August 30, 2006 and September 8, 2006 was appropriate under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv). The Court so found based on the need for adequate preparation on the part of the defendants and their counsel and the need to avoid unreasonably denying the defendants continuity of counsel in light of the as-yet-unsettled representation arrangements for six of the defendants. The Court found, without objection, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

For the foregoing reasons, and those discussed on the record at the August 30, 2006 hearing, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between August 30, 2006 and September 8, 2006 excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv).

Unite 😘

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/5/6

PANDISTRIC

Judge Joseph C. Spero

viagistrate Ju